



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/503,960	02/14/2000	Robert J. Ratterman	2043.002US1	7340
49845 7590 09/28/2011 SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG & WOESSNER/EBAY P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402				
EXAMINER BOSWELL, BETH V				
ART UNIT 3623		PAPER NUMBER		
NOTIFICATION DATE 09/28/2011		DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USPTO@SLWIP.COM  
request@slwip.com

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/503,960	<b>Applicant(s)</b> RATTERMAN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> BETH V. BOSWELL	<b>Art Unit</b> 3623	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) BETH V. BOSWELL. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Jun Wei. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 01 June 2010.

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Issues Discussed ☐101 ☐112 ☐102 ☐103 ☐Others  
(For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_.

**Substance of Interview**  
(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

Examiner attempted to contact Applicant multiple times from June 1 - September 1 to discuss potentially allowable subject matter, but was unable to reach the applicant. Examiner encourages applicant to contact the examiner to discuss the application.

**Applicant recodation instructions:** It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

**Examiner recodation instructions:** Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recodation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recodation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

	/BETH V BOSWELL/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3623
--	--